Disparities in patient-reported distress in head and neck cancer Melissa C. White¹, Trinitia Y Cannon, MD^{2,3}, Tammara L Watts MD, PhD^{2,3}, Cheyenne Corbett, PhD³, Rong Jiang, PhD², Nosayaba Osazuwa-Peters, BDS, PhD, MPH, CHES^{2,3}

Department of Head and Neck Surgery & Communication Sciences

Duke University School of Medicine

Background

- Distress is common among cancer patients, and impacts morbidity and mortality.
- Head and neck cancer (HNC) is considered one of the most emotionally distressing of all cancers, and given the stark racial disparities in HNC outcomes,

Objective

• We sought to examine racial and sociodemographic factors associated with clinically meaningful distress in a cohort of patients with HNC.

Methods

- Data Source: Retrospective cohort of patients at an urban, academic, National Cancer Institute (NCI)designated comprehensive cancer center in the Southeastern United States
- Study Design: Cross-sectional
- Measures:
- Dependent variable: Distress thermometer (DT) score
- Independent variable: Race/ethnicity, age, sex, marital status, and health insurance status
- Statistical Analysis:
- Chi-square test
- Multivariable logistic regression

1,161 patients with head and neck cancer diagnosis (excluding thyroid cancer) and Radiation Oncology visit from 2017-2022

within 5 weeks of diagnosis

from 2017-2022 with DT data

Seeing Radiation Oncology afte 5 weeks of diagnosis (n=351) Unknown diagnosis date (n=8 Recurrent cancer (n=19) Outside 2017-2022 window No DT data on first visit 507 patients with head and neck cancer diagnosis (excluding thyroid cancer) seen by Radiation Oncology selection flow-

(n=118) Saw Radiation Oncology befo diagnosis (n=11) Could not find Radiation Oncology clinic note/DT (n=8) No definitive diagnosis (n=1) Figure 1: Patient

chart.

654 patients excluded for:

Non squamous cell carcinoma

head and neck cancer (n=89)

(n=49)

Figure 2: Levels and causes of patient-reported distress in head and neck cancer patients by race * = statistically significant 40.0% 34.8% 35.0% 30.0% 25.0% 20.3% 20.0% 18.0% 18.2% 15.0% 11.7% 10.0% 5.0% 0.0% Practical (10.8%) Family (3.6%) Emotional (13.2%) ■ White ■ Black ■ Other

¹Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC

²Duke University School of Medicine, Department of Head and Neck Surgery & Communication Sciences, Durham, NC ³Duke Cancer Institute, Duke University, Durham, NC

Variable	Effect	ect Lower 95%		
Age, years	0.98 0.96		0.99	
Sex				
Female	Reference			
Male	0.92	0.58	1.46	
Race				
White	Reference			
Black	0.76 0.45		1.28	
Marital status				
Married	Reference			
Not married	1.61	1.05	2.50	
Smoking status				
Never	Reference			
Current	2.14	1.02	4.5	
Drug use				
Νο	Reference			
Yes	0.99	0.59	1.66	
Alcohol use				
No	Reference			
Yes	0.85	0.57	1.27	
Insurance status				
Νο	Reference			
Yes	1.06	0.47	2.44	
Stage				
Early	Reference			
Late	1.28	0.81	2.03	
Practical Problems				
No	Reference			
Yes	1.45	0.66	3.18	
Family Problems				
No	Reference			
Yes	1.02	0.32	3.22	
Emotional Problems				
No	Reference			
Yes	2.03	1.02	4.08	
Physical concerns				
Νο	Reference			
Yes	0.72	0.40	1.29	

Results

Table 1: Adjusted odds ratio (aOR) estimates between meaningful distress and sociodemographic factors



	Total		Meaningful Distress		No Meaningful Distress		Р
	n=5	n=507		n=232, 45.8%		n=275, 54.2%	
Age (Median, IQR)	63.0	15.0	61.4	11.7	64.3	10.4	0.003
Race	205	75.0	476	75.0	200	76	0.05
White	385	/5.9	1/6	/5.9	209	/6 17 F	0.95
Black	89	17.6	41	17.7	48	17.5	
Cov	33	0.5	15	0.5	18	0.0	0.67
Sex	110	22.2	56	24.1	62	22.6	0.07
Malo	290	25.5	176	75.0	212	22.0	
Marital Status	203	70.7	170	73.9	215		0.002
Married	214	61.0	176	E1 2	100	69 /	0.002
Iviarried	104	26.2	120	54.5 42.1	100	08.4 20.6	
Unmarried	184	30.3	100	43.1	84 2	30.0	
Cmaking	9	1.0	0	2.0	5	1.1	0.0028
	ED	10 F	26	15 5	17	6.2	0.0028
Current	25	10.5 E1 E	30 112	7 OL	140	0.Z	
	101	27.7	113	40.7	140	55.0 60 6	
	191	57.7	02	55.5	109	09.0	0.26
	00	17 0	16	10.9	11	16	0.20
Tes No.	280	75.0	40	19.0 71.6	44 217		
	500	73.0	20	/1.0	214	6.2	
	57	7.5	20	0.0	17	0.2	0.42
Oronbanungaal	260	E1 2	110	10 2	1/0	E2 0	0.42
Uropharyngea	120	51.5 25.4	11Z 61	40.5	140	55.0 24 7	
Oral cavity and other	125	23.4	50	20.3	50	24.7	
Stago	110	23.5	23	23.4	23	21.5	0.019
Farly	304	61.9	128	56 /	176	66.7	0.019
	187	38.1	120	/3.6	88	33.3	
Vital Status	107	50.1	55	45.0	00	55.5	0.27
	379	74.8	168	72 4	211	76.7	0.27
Decessed	128	25.3	100 64	27.4	64	73.7	
Alcohol Lise	120	23.3	04	27.0	04	23.5	0.53
Vec	201	39.6	22	38 /	112	40.7	0.55
Never/No/Not Currently	201	58.2	139	59.4	156	56.7	
	11	2.2	135	1 7	150	2.6	
Insurance Status		2.2	-	1.7	,	2.0	0.75
Yes	479	94.5	220	94.8	259	94.2	0.75
No	28	5 5	12	5.2	16	5.8	
Problem List	20	5.5	12	5.2	10	5.0	
	135	26.6	71	30.6	64	23.3	0.063
	372	73.4	161	69.4	211	76.7	5.000
Practical Problems≥1. Yes	55	10.8	34	14.7	21	7.6	0.011
	452	89.2	198	85.3	254	92.4	
Family problems≥1, Yes	18	3.6	12	5.2	6	2.2	0.07
No	489	96.4	220	94.8	269	97.8	
Emotional problems≥1, Yes	67	13.2	41	17.7	26	9.5	0.0065
No	440	86.8	191	82.3	249	90.5	
Physical concerns≥1, Yes	116	22.9	60	25.9	56	20.4	0.14
No	391	77.1	172	74.1	219	79.6	
Spiritual problem≥1, Yes	2	0.4	2	0.9	0	0.0	cannot be tested
No	505	99.6	230	99.1	275	100.0	

Table 2: Baseline demographics of cohort and differences in meaningful distress by sociodemographic factors

Duke University School of Medicine

Conclusions

Main Findings:

- Age, marital status, cancer stage, and the presence of practical and emotional problems are associated with meaningful distress.
- Patients who are unmarried, current smokers and who reported emotional problems had increased odds of meaningful distress.
- Patients ≤40 years old, >80 years old, and patients with a high school education or less had decreased odds of reading online health materials.

Limitations of Study:

- our small sample size may underestimate the true rates of distress, and especially how they differ by race
- We had to exclude many patients due to missing data, once again prohibiting a more complete analysis.
- Our study encompasses data from a single time point - distress is on a continuum and might change based on treatment trajectories and other occurrences

Implications:

 Our study underscores the need for social support in mitigating distress and optimizing mental healthcare in our patient population. Further studies will explore distress trajectories across the HNC continuum and impact on HNC outcomes.

Contact us

Duke University School of Medicine Department of Head and Neck Surgery & **Communication Sciences DUMC Box 3805** 40 Duke Medicine Circle Durham, NC 27710 https://headnecksurgery.duke.edu/