PubMed and EBM Training for Medical Students: Finding a Better Way

Brandi Tuttle, Connie Schardt, Anne Powers, & Megan von Isenburg - Duke University Medical Center Library, Durham, NC

Background

PubMed searching and EBM principles have traditionally been taught to 2nd vear medical students in a large auditorium setting during the Orientation to the Clinical Year. With lagging student attendance and little feedback on whether students were retaining lecture content, we designed a mandatory PubMed tutorial with support from School of Medicine faculty, to replace the in-person session. The interactive tutorial walks students through basic searching techniques, EBM principles, and conducting the search. A final guiz requires students to apply EBM principles to a new search scenario and find five relevant articles. Each student received individualized feedback from a librarian

Development



10 11 12 14 10

Searching techniques

Use appropriate MeSH

· Use Boolean operators

· Identify the question

Search concepts

separately

Check Details


Apply Limits

EBM principles

design

The design process stretched over eight months. The structure was modeled on a frame-based tutorial developed at Mount Sinai School of Medicine.* This allowed us to provide instruction in one frame while students conducted a live PubMed search in another. We mapped out the didactic content through storyboards and created an example illustrating the major steps of a search. User testing was performed by several librarians, support staff, and a library student. Responses to the guiz and evaluation were sent to an email address.

Results




The tutorial received a grade of A or B from 86% of students, and 83% indicated they thought they "learned enough to do [their] own PubMed search." However, the results of the guiz demonstrated that student search skills were still lacking.


While many students could place the search elements in PICO format and construct the clinical question, they were less successful at searching or selecting relevant articles.

Analysis of guiz results was done using Pearson correlations in SPSS. The strongest correlation between searching variables and selecting the "gold standard" articles was the cumulative score, which included all the graded elements. The data suggest that no variable is more predictive of successful searching than another.

In other words, there is no magic bullet, students must learn all aspects of a good search, from PICO to narrowing to employing Boolean operators to limiting to the appropriate study type.

Student Feedback





Advantages

Biggest advantage: All students completed the training and performed a search!

For students...

- Able to complete tutorial at their convenience
- · Generally happy with the tutorial, especially the interactive format and specific searching tips
- Quiz provided opportunity for one-on-one feedback by librarians
- Tutorial remains available for reviewing concepts

For librarians...

- Able to review guizzes at our convenience
- Data collection on searching skills
- · Facilitates planning of additional training

Disadvantages

Biggest disadvantage: Amount of time required to develop tutorial and review guizzes

For students...

- Some had browser/computer problems with frames
- · Instructions were confusing to some
- They wanted immediate feedback

For librarians

- Difficultly identifying the source of some technical problems encountered by students
- Tutorial needs major revision on account of 2008 MeSH changes

Future Plans

 Revise the tutorial based on guiz results, evaluation comments, and MeSH changes

- Provide clearer instructions (possibly using screenshots or video)
- Include a final summary screen reminding them of major concepts (e.g., PICO)
- Include more options for learning advanced skills

 Investigate ways to streamline the grading process while still collecting meaningful data and giving students useful feedback!

