Duke University School of Medicine Doctor of Physical Therapy

Background

- Known benefits of exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation (CR) post myocardial infarction (MI) include reduced rate of re-infarction, increased lifespan, and decreased healthcare utilization¹.
- Other CR benefits include reduced fearavoidance behaviors², improved participation in exercise after a cardiac event³, and improved quality of life (QOL).
- Exercise mode and specific parameters (frequency, intensity, duration, longevity) that optimize outcomes are ill-defined.



Objectives

- 1. Analyze CR protocols and outcomes in contemporary randomized controlled trials.
- 2. Identify research gaps and inconsistencies.
- 3. Suggest future research directions.

Methods

Databases searched: PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science, 2011-2017



C: Control, CE: Cycle Ergometer, FCEM: Family Centered Empowerment Model, HR: Heart Rate, NS: Not stated, Pt: participant, TM: Treadmill, Tx: Treatment group, VO_{2 Peak}: Peak volitional oxygen uptake in ml/kg/min, Wk: week

Aa

Car Gol

> Mo Oli Oliv Or



Contemporary Trends in Cardiac Rehabilitation Post-Myocardial Infarction: A Systematic Review Melanie Woodward, SPT; Alexis Reinbolt, SPT, CSCS; Joeline Kane, SPT; Daron Blankenship, SPT; Amy M. Pastva, PT, MA, PhD

Exercise Protocol Parameters

Study	Mode	Intensity (%HR peak)	Intensity (%VO ₂ peak)	Program Longevity (mos)	Session Duration (min)	Frequency (per wk)
Aamot 2014	TM, CE, Pt choice	85 - 95	-	3	45 - 47	2
Basati 2012	NS	60 - 85	-	2	60 - 90	3
Fontes-Carvalho 2015	TM, CE, Resistance	70 - 85	-	2	70	3
Giallauria 2012	CE, Education	-	60 - 70	6	40	3
Giallauria 2011	CE, Education	_	60 - 70	6	40	3
Golabchi 2012	Aerobic NS, Education	60 - 85	-	2	30 - 60	3
Moholdt 2012	TM	85 - 95	-	3	Tx: 38; C: 60	3
Oliveira 2015	TM, CE	70 - 85	-	2	40	3
Oliveira 2014	TM, CE	70 - 85	-	2	50	3
Ortega 2014	CE	< 85	-	6 - 7	35	3 - 5
Vahedian-Asimi 2016	FCEM	Pt choice	-	NS	120	N/A

Quality Assessment

Cochrane Risk of Bias Assessment												
Study	Random Sequence	Allocation Concealment	Selective Reporting	Other Bias	Blinding: Pt/Personnel	Blinding: Outcomes	Incomplete Outcomes	Quality Rating				
Aamot 2014		?		~	+	+		Poor				
Basati 2012	?	?		\checkmark	+	+		Poor				
Fontes- arvalho 2015		?	?		+			Poor				
Giallauria 2012		?	?	?	+			Poor				
Giallauria 2011	?	?			+			Poor				
olabchi 2012	?	?	?	+	?		?	Poor				
1oholdt 2012				\checkmark	+	+		Poor				
Oliveira 2015		?	+	\checkmark	+	\checkmark		Poor				
Oliveira 2014		?		\checkmark	+			Poor				
Ortega 2014	\checkmark	?		\checkmark	+			Poor				
Vahedian- Asimi 2016						?		Fair				
		+ = high ı	risk 🗸 🗸	= low ris	sk ? = u	unclear						

Demographics (Total N = 902):

- Mean age = 56.3 years
- Male = 84%
- Race/Ethnicity = Not reported

Exercise Mode and Parameters:

- Parameters:
- Program Longevity = $\geq 2 \mod 1$

Program Outcomes:

- mode or parameter optimal.
- and exercise tolerance.

- been addressed.
- impact patient outcomes.

Clinical Relevance

Acknowledgements/References

Leila Ledbetter, MLIS, with database search. References available upon request. Image: https://www.drpawluk.com/blog/electromagnetic-fields-and-the-heart/

Results

Mode: Treadmill or Cycle Ergometer

• Intensity = $\geq 60\%$ HR or VO₂ peak Frequency = 3x/wk • Duration = \geq 35 min VO₂/Cardiac Function – improved, no specific QOL – No difference control vs exercise. Novel family model improved cardiac function

Conclusions

Despite protocol variations, exercise capacity and cardiac function showed improvements. However, overall study quality generally poor.

No optimal mode or parameters identified.

Previously identified research gaps (i.e., gender and racial/ethnic disparities) have not

CR models that include social support may

Future research must be rigorous and target disparities and protocol optimization.

Non-exercise related factors (social health determinants) require exploration.